

No. 2025-240 August 13, 2025

Second Circuit: Regulation under section 170 disallowing deduction for amount of SALT credit upheld

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit today held that Treas. Reg. § 1.170A-1(h)(3)(i), which requires that a taxpayer claiming a charitable contribution deduction under section 170(c) reduce that deduction "by the amount of any state or local tax credit that the taxpayer receives or expects to receive in consideration for the taxpayer's payment or transfer," did not exceed the IRS's statutory authority, as interpreted under the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo*, 603 U.S. 369 (2024).

The case is: New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, and the Village of Scarsdale v. Bessent, Nos. 24-1499-cv(L), 24-1503-cv(CON) (2nd Cir. August 13, 2025). Read the Second Circuit's <u>decision</u>

Summary

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (TCJA) capped the federal tax deduction for state and local taxes ("SALT deduction") at \$10,000. Shortly thereafter, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, and the Village of Scarsdale each enacted programs under which residents could voluntarily contribute money to a state-administered charitable fund and receive a sizeable state or local tax credit in return. The contributors sought to deduct the full amount of their contributions from their federal taxable incomes under section 170, but the U.S. Department of Treasury and IRS in 2019 promulgated Treas. Reg. § 1.170A-1(h)(3)(i), which would have denied such deductions.

New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, and the Village of Scarsdale sued the Treasury Department and the IRS in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging that the IRS exceeded its statutory authority in promulgating Treas. Reg. § 1.170A-1(h)(3)(i) and that the regulation is arbitrary and capricious under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). The district court granted summary judgment for Treasury and the IRS, relying on *Chevron* deference to conclude that the IRS's interpretation of ambiguous statutory language in section 170 is a permissible construction of the statute and that the regulation is not arbitrary and capricious.

The states and village appealed to the Second Circuit, which today held that the IRS did not exceed its statutory authority in light of the Supreme Court's overturning of *Chevron* in *Loper Bright*, finding that section 170 and its implicit quid pro quo principle allows the regulation's prohibition of a tax deduction when the taxpayer has received a corresponding tax credit from the recipient of a donation.

© 2025 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

kpmg.com/socialmedia



The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

KPMG International Limited is a private English company limited by guarantee and does not provide services to clients. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to <u>Washington National Tax</u>. For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533.3712, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash, reply to Washington National Tax.

Privacy | Legal