

No. 2025-180 June 12, 2025

U.S. Supreme Court: Taxpayer's petition may be dismissed by Tax Court on grounds of mootness

The U.S. Supreme Court today reversed the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit and held that the U.S. Tax Court properly dismissed the taxpayer's petition on grounds of mootness because the IRS had already withheld tax refunds owed to the taxpayer equal to the amount of the disputed tax.

The case is: Commissioner v. Zuch, No. 24-416 (S. Ct. June 12, 2025). Read the Court's opinion

Justice Barrett delivered the opinion of the Court, which was joined by all other justices except for Justice Gorsuch, who filed a dissenting opinion.

Summary

The taxpayer challenged a levy on her property to collect unpaid tax before the Tax Court, arguing that she had prepaid the tax. During the multi-year litigation, the IRS withheld tax refunds owed to the taxpayer and applied them to what it said was her unpaid balance. Once the taxpayer's liability reached zero, the IRS moved to dismiss the Tax Court proceeding as moot, arguing that the Tax Court lacked jurisdiction because the IRS no longer had a basis to levy on the taxpayer's property, and the Tax Court granted the motion. On appeal, however, the Third Circuit vacated the dismissal, holding that the IRS's abandonment of the levy did not moot the Tax Court proceedings. Read TaxNewsFlash

The Supreme Court held that the Tax Court lacks jurisdiction under section 6330 to resolve disputes between a taxpayer and the IRS when the IRS is no longer pursuing a levy. The Court found that the Tax Court is a court of limited jurisdiction, granted authority under section 6330(d)(1) to "review" an appeals officer's "determination" in a collection due process hearing. A "determination" refers to the binary decision whether a levy may proceed and does not include "issues raised" by the taxpayer that may inform an appeals officer's determination under section 6330(c)(3). The taxpayer's assertion that she had prepaid the tax was an input into the "determination" (i.e., an "issue raised" by the taxpayer that the appeals officer was required to consider under section 6330(c)(3)(B)), but the "determination" was just the appeals officer's decision to sustain the levy. Once the IRS withheld tax refunds owed to the taxpayer equal to the amount of the disputed tax such that there was no longer a basis for the levy, there was no longer any "determination" to review. The Tax Court thus was correct that it lacked jurisdiction to opine on the disputed tax liability independent of any ongoing collection effort.

The taxpayer's recourse for alleged tax overpayments was to file a refund suit, which she had already done.

© 2025 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

kpmg.com/socialmedia



The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

KPMG International Limited is a private English company limited by guarantee and does not provide services to clients. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to <u>Washington National Tax</u>. For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533.3712, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash, reply to Washington National Tax.

Privacy | Legal