



TaxNewsFlash

United States

No. 2024-441
November 18, 2024

U.S. Tax Court: IRS does not have statutory authority to assess penalties for willful failure to file Form 5471

The U.S. Tax Court today reaffirmed its prior decision, holding that the IRS did not have statutory authority to assess penalties under section 6038(b) against a taxpayer who willfully failed to file Form 5471, *Information Return of U.S. Persons With Respect to Certain Foreign Corporations*.

The case is: *Mukhi v. Commissioner*, 163 T.C. No. 8 (November 18, 2024). Read the Tax Court's [opinion](#)

Summary

In this case, the U.S. Tax Court addressed the issue of whether the IRS had the authority to assess penalties under section 6038(b)(1) for the taxpayer's failure to file Forms 5471 for the tax years 2002 through 2013. The IRS had assessed \$120,000 in penalties and initiated collection actions, which the taxpayer challenged.

Initially, the Tax Court decided in the taxpayer's favor, citing *Farhy v. Commissioner*, which held that the IRS lacked authority to assess such penalties. [Read TaxNewsFlash](#)

However, the D.C. Circuit later reversed the *Farhy* decision, asserting that the penalties were assessable. [Read TaxNewsFlash](#)

Despite the D.C. Circuit's reversal, the U.S. Tax Court today reaffirmed its original decision, holding that the IRS still lacked statutory authority to assess the penalties under section 6038(b)(1), explaining that the petitioner's appeal lies with the Eighth Circuit, which has not yet issued an opinion about whether the 6038(b)(1) penalty is assessable. The court emphasized that section 6038(b)(1) did not explicitly grant the IRS authority to immediately assess the penalties and that the proper mode of recovery for these penalties would be through a civil action in a district court, not through assessment by the IRS. Consequently, the Tax Court held that the IRS could not proceed with the collection actions against the taxpayer for these penalties. The Tax Court also rejected the government's policy and administrative burden arguments, noting the FBAR penalties are routinely enforced through the Department of Justice and that requiring a civil lawsuit for the collection of section 6038(b)(1) penalties would limit the IRS's systemic assessment—and frequent abatement—of these penalties.

kpmg.com/socialmedia



The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

KPMG International Limited is a private English company limited by guarantee and does not provide services to clients. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to [Washington National Tax](#). For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at +1 202.533.3712, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash, reply to [Washington National Tax](#).

[Privacy](#) | [Legal](#)