

No. 2024-010 January 10, 2024

Fourth Circuit: Certain challenges to Maryland digital advertising tax barred, but constitutional challenge may proceed

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit today affirmed the conclusion of a federal district court that the Tax Injunction Act (TIA) bars several trade associations' challenge to Maryland's tax on digital advertising on the grounds that the tax violates the Internet Tax Freedom Act and the federal commerce and due process clauses. However, it found that those counts of the complaint must be dismissed without prejudice.

The court also found that the plaintiffs' challenge to the "pass-through provision" of the tax as violating the First Amendment was not rendered moot by a state trial court decision, which was later reversed by the Maryland Supreme Court, finding the tax unconstitutional. The court thus remanded the case back to the federal district court to decide whether the passthrough provision is constitutional.

The case is: Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America v. Lierman, No. 22-2275 (4th Cir. January 10, 2024). Read the Fourth Circuit's decision [PDF 160 KB]

Background

The United States Chamber of Commerce and three other trade associations sued in federal court to stop the enforcement of a new state tax in Maryland under which large technology companies pay a tax based on gross revenue they earn from digital advertising in the state.

The complaint alleged that the tax violates the Internet Tax Freedom Act (count I), the Commerce Clause (count II), the Due Process Clause (count III), and the First Amendment (count IV). In particular, the complaint alleged that the pass-through provision of the law, which prohibits taxed companies from passing on the costs of the tax to their advertising customers "by means of a separate fee, surcharge, or line-item," violates the First Amendment as a content-based regulation of speech.

The district court dismissed counts I, II, and III of the complaint with prejudice as barred by the TIA, which prevents federal courts from enjoining the collection of state taxes when state law provides an adequate remedy.

The district court also dismissed count IV without prejudice on mootness grounds after the Anne Arundel County Circuit Court in October 2022 found the tax unconstitutional (read <u>TaxNewsFlash</u>). While this appeal was pending, the Maryland Supreme Court vacated that declaratory judgment (read <u>TaxNewsFlash</u>) and <u>TaxNewsFlash</u>).

kpmg.com/socialmedia



The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

KPMG International Limited is a private English company limited by guarantee and does not provide services to clients. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to <u>Washington National Tax</u>. For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533 3712, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash, reply to Washington National Tax.

Privacy | Legal