



This Week in State Tax (TWIST)

March 31, 2025



Oregon: Tax Court Holds Affiliates Create Nexus for Parent; Apportionment Also Addressed

The Oregon Tax Court recently held that a national broadcaster had economic nexus in Oregon through its affiliate stations in the state. The contracts between the taxpayer and its affiliates required the taxpayer to provide programming and “branding support” (i.e., use of the taxpayer’s name and logo) to the affiliates in exchange for weekly airtime on each station and forgiveness of monetary obligations under other contracts. The taxpayer earned revenue from its national advertisers when advertisements were aired by Oregon affiliates. Although the taxpayer lacked physical presence in Oregon, and the contracts between the taxpayer and the affiliates were all executed outside the state, the Department of Revenue (Department) determined that the taxpayer had economic nexus with Oregon. Oregon law states that economic nexus exists when a taxpayer “maintains continuous and systemic contact with Oregon’s economy or market,” “receives significant gross receipts attributable to customers in Oregon,” or “receives significant gross receipts attributable to the use of taxpayer’s intangible property in Oregon.”

In its review, the Tax Court determined that economic nexus existed under Oregon law because (1) the taxpayer’s partnerships with its affiliates created a continuous presence of the taxpayer’s programming in Oregon; (2) a portion of the taxpayer’s revenue from its sale of advertising were attributable to Oregon viewers; and (3) the affiliates made use of the taxpayer’s intangible property (i.e., branding and programming) in Oregon. In response to the taxpayer’s constitutional objections, the Tax Court ruled, despite the taxpayer’s lack of physical presence, the revenue from advertisements targeted at Oregon viewers, the use of intangible property in Oregon as a core part of the taxpayer’s business model, and the intentional use of affiliate contracts to enter the Oregon market satisfied the “minimum connection” requirement of the Due Process Clause and the “substantial nexus” requirement of the Commerce Clause. Having found that the taxpayer had nexus with Oregon, the Tax Court briefly addressed the taxpayer’s argument against the Oregon “interstate broadcaster” apportionment formula (which apportions taxable income based on the location of the broadcaster’s audience), holding that the taxpayer had not demonstrated that application of the statute was unfair. Contact [Nisha Mathew](#) with questions about [NBCUniversal Enterprise, Inc. v. Department of Revenue](#).

Learn about us:



[kpmg.com](https://www.kpmg.com)

The following information is not intended to be “written advice concerning one or more federal tax matters” subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230.

The information contained herein is of a general nature and based on authorities that are subject to change. Applicability of the information to specific situations should be determined through consultation with your tax adviser.

© 2025 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. USCS011027-1AG