

As financial institutions respond to a changing regulatory landscape following the first 100 days of the new administration's tenure, particularly trends toward deregulation, the importance of maintaining a disciplined and forward-looking model risk management (MRM) framework remains unchanged. Although we do not anticipate immediate impacts to MRM stemming from current deregulatory developments, it is critical to uphold compliance with regulatory guidance, such as SR 11 -7, OCC 2011-12, and FHFA's AB 2013-07, while preparing organizations for future evolution through operational excellence and robust risk management practices.

# 1 Core regulatory compliance

The foundation of sound model risk governance rests on rigorous adherence to regulations. This includes maintaining strong model validation practices, comprehensive documentation standards, and well-defined governance structures. These core elements ensure the ongoing effectiveness of the MRM framework, supporting consistency, transparency, and accountability across the model lifecycle. By reinforcing these fundamentals, institutions can withstand regulatory scrutiny while preserving internal risk controls and decision-making integrity.

Deregulation is unlikely to fundamentally alter the importance of MRM regulatory guidance, but it may lead to less intense examinations, allowing banks to prioritize resources differently than in prior periods. Smaller or less complex banking organizations with limited model use may be afforded more flexibility and simplified model governance.

The likelihood that the bulk of bank supervision could be consolidated inside the OCC may reduce duplicative work and more consistent feedback from regulators. It also implies potentially reduced exam frequencies regarding model risk.

While regulators may shift their focus from adherence to procedures to outcome-based supervision, they will prioritize the real-world performance and impact of models on decision-making rather than strictly following prescribed processes. Firms may have more flexibility to tailor model risk frameworks to their specific needs, provided core regulatory principles are met. This flexibility will continue to necessitate a proactive and robust approach to MRM.

### 02

## **Operational efficiency**

To effectively navigate future challenges in a deregulated environment, institutions must focus on improving operational efficiency within their MRM functions. This involves streamlining internal model validation workflows, enhancing the clarity and completeness of documentation to reduce regulatory friction, and developing scalable model risk governance capabilities, including utilizing onshore, nearshore, or offshore execution. Investing in these efficiencies not only helps contain costs but also enables quicker adaptation to changing business and regulatory needs without compromising compliance or control.

With increased openness and flexibility to use artificial intelligence/machine learning (Al/ML) techniques, MRM functions can actively leverage these technologies to enhance operational efficiency. Al/ML can be used to automate repetitive and timeconsuming tasks such as data quality checks, model performance monitoring, and validation report generation. Additionally, Al/ML techniques can analyze large data sets and identify anomalies in model output, enabling faster and more accurate performance assessments.

Using data lakes, warehouses, or cloud-based platforms to consolidate data from various sources can significantly enhance operational efficiency within MRM functions. For example, embedding automated data quality checks directly into the model validation workflows ensures consistency and early detection of data issues. Furthermore, a centralized cloud-based platform can streamline MRM processes by integrating model-related information and standardizing workflows such as model validation planning, execution, and approval. The platform can enable real-time tracking of MRM key performance indicators, issue management, and model validation progress. Additionally, it can support the automated generation of consolidated reports, improving transparency and oversight across the model risk lifecycle.

## **Risk-based prioritization**

A risk-based approach to model oversight remains essential, particularly as exploration and usage of AI/ML embedded in both models and nonmodels continues to increase. Institutions should continue to assess and validate models based on their risk tiering, ensuring that high-risk models and emerging model risks receive appropriate validation. Modifying key definitions to distinguish models, qualitative/nonmodel tools, and applications and maintaining an up-to-date model inventory and accurate risk classifications are vital to this process. Partnering with other risk teams helps to address talent need for computer science and form joint review for Al-powered assistants, tools, and applications that leverage deep learning algorithms and large language models. Allocating resources strategically towards the most critical models ensures that oversight efforts are both impactful and efficient, aligning with regulatory expectations and sound risk principles.

Traditional model risk scoring and tiering methodologies typically evaluate various risk dimensions, such as business impact, model complexity, and regulatory importance. However, as the use of Al/ML models becomes more prevalent—potentially accelerated by deregulation—the model tiering framework should be updated to reflect the unique risk characteristics of Al/ML models, such as the use of unstructured data, complexity of the methodologies and evaluation criteria, frequency of retraining, and level of model transparency.

MRM should prioritize its activities and resource allocation based on model tiering, including model validation frequency, validation scope, governance review, and documentation requirements. MRM personnel, technology, and time should be budgeted proportionally to model risk tiers, with a contingency reserve. For example, validation efforts for lower-tier models can be partially or fully automated using Al tools or assigned to junior validators, and the technology and time requirements are generally less demanding for nonmodel reviews.

It is essential to periodically reassess model risk scoring or after significant events and conduct regular reviews to ensure that prioritization remains aligned with evolving risks and regulation.

# **04** Change management readiness

While maintaining compliance with MRM regulatory guidance, institutions must also build flexibility into their MRM frameworks to accommodate future changes. This involves proactively monitoring regulatory developments that could influence MRM practices, maintaining a well-documented backlog of planned changes, and preserving clear documentation of the rationale behind current practices. Such readiness ensures that institutions are not only compliant today but also prepared to pivot effectively when regulatory, business, or technological shifts occur.

MRM functions must remain proactive in monitoring evolving regulations, including emerging regulations addressing Al/ML models and end-user computing tools. It is essential to regularly evaluate how regulatory changes impact model risk policies, controls, and the governance framework, and to conduct periodic risk assessments to identify potential gaps. MRM policies and controls should be updated to reflect these changes.

Adopting a platform to automate the tracking of regulatory updates, assess impacts, and manage compliance tasks can significantly enhance the efficiency of change management. Utilizing Al-driven tools for intelligent automation and integration with existing systems will further streamline these processes, ensuring a robust and responsive MRM framework.

Deregulation—whether actual or theorized—does not diminish the importance of a robust MRM framework; instead, it underscores the need for operational resilience within the MRM function. By adhering to regulatory guidance, optimizing efficiency, focusing on risk-based oversight, and preparing for change, institutions can sustain compliance while building the capacity to evolve. In doing so, they reinforce the role of MRM not just as a regulatory necessity but as a strategic enabler of trust, transparency, and long-term value.

### **Contact us**

## Adam Levy Principal

FS Risk, Regulatory, and Compliance Services KPMG LLP

**T**: 312-665-2928

E: adamlevy@kpmg.com

#### **Mark Wickware**

Managing Director FS Risk, Regulatory, and Compliance Services KPMG LLP

**T**: 212-872-3666

E: mwickware@kpmg.com

#### Lynley Chada

**Director**FS Risk, Regulatory, and
Compliance Services
KPMG LLP

T: 212-758-9700 E: lchada@kpmg.com

With special thanks to Liming Brotcke for her contributions to this piece.

#### **Related insight**

- Artificial Intelligence and Model Risk
   <u>Management:</u> Discover how an MRM framework
   can be further strengthened to effectively
   address key challenges related to Al/ML models.
- First 100 Days | Upcoming Regulatory Signals for 'Deregulation': Read about regulatory shifts based on executive/legislative and agency actions/directions.

Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates or related entities.

Learn about us:



kpmg.com

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2025 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. USCS030308-1A

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.