

Regulatory Alert

Regulatory Insights



April 2025

First 100 Days: Regulatory Signals for M&A and Capital Formation

KPMG Insights

- **Does Dereg Mean Deals?:** A deregulatory agenda was thought to mean increased deal making and capital formation—but regulatory guardrails alone are but one piece.
- **National Capitalism:** Heightened focus on foreign ownership and influence (and impacts to domestic industry) will weigh into the Administration's regulatory directives.

Antitrust: Despite efforts to help streamline regulatory processes, expect a continued regulatory and legal/litigation focus on antitrust.

The new Administration was generally expected to implement a pro-business stance that would bring certainty and growth (e.g., focus on stock values/ capital formation, increasing numbers of initial public offerings) and an easing of regulatory requirements that, in combination, would spur M&A activity. While uncertainties (e.g., tariff and trade policies, pace of change) remain in achieving this uptick, several First 100 Day regulatory signals areas are likely to help guide the deregulatory agenda, including:

- Capital Formation
- Protection of Domestic Industry/National Security
- M&A and Antitrust Policies

See also KPMG 2025 M&A Deal Market Study, here.



Capital Formation

Federal departments and agencies are making/considering changes to regulatory processes intended to promote capital formation in both private and public investor markets.

Signals	Description/Examples	Source
Modification or Withdrawal of Rulemakings and Guidance	Recission of SEC Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14L and issuance of new Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14M (CF), which eases the ability of companies to exclude shareholder proposals (e.g., climate policy, corporate governance, human capital management) from proxy materials.	SEC Legal Bulletin
	Adoption of enhanced accommodations for issuers seeking nonpublic review of draft registration statements for public offerings, including:	SEC Announcement
	— Expansion of eligible forms.	
	— Submission flexibility.	
	Expansion of availability to certain de-SPAC transactions.	
	— Omission of underwriter name.	
	Consideration, based in part on recommendations from the SEC Office of the Advocate for Small Business Capital Formation (OASB), of potential changes to:	SEC Acting Chair Remarks
	 Improve capital raising opportunities including through changes to Regulation Crowdfunding (e.g., changes to reduce the complexity and compliance cost of exempt offerings). 	SEC Commissioner Remarks
	 Empower retail investment in private companies, including modifications to the definition of an accredited investor (e.g., income, net worth) and how investments are accepted (e.g., sliding scale, direct, pooled investment vehicles). 	2024 OASB Annual Report
	 Expand workplace retirement plan investments to include private equity investments and/or a private equity retirement plan alternative, consistent with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) under the Department of Labor (and potential for litigation reform) and fiduciary duty requirements. 	
	 Enhance the feasibility of initial public offerings (IPOs), including amendments to the definition of an emerging growth company (e.g., qualification, duration) and broader application of an "on-ramp" for certain existing disclosure obligations. 	
	 Scale public company disclosures, including updating thresholds for accelerated and large accelerated filers and identifying disclosures applicable to only the largest companies. 	
	Implementation of Executive Order 14219, which directs agencies to "initiate a process to review all regulations" and identify regulations that, among other things, "impose undue burdens on small businesses and impede private enterprise and entrepreneurship."	DOJ Announcement,
	Launch of the Anticompetitive Regulations Task Force "to advocate for the elimination of anticompetitive state and federal laws and regulations that undermine free market competition and harm consumers, workers, and businesses"; solicitation of public comment on "unnecessary" laws and regulations that raise the highest barriers to competition.	Request for Comment



Protection of Domestic Industry/National Security

Aside from Executive Orders and Memorandums on trade and economic policies, regulatory signals aligned to domestic industry and national security include:

Signals	Description/Examples	Source
Modification or Withdrawal of Rulemakings and Guidance	Implementation of Executive Order 14219 on the Administration's deregulation initiative	EO 14219
	Establishment of the Anticompetitive Regulations Task Force (included in Capital Formation as well) to:	DOJ Statement
	Review regulations across industries for competitiveness.	
	 Focus on identifying and addressing state and federal laws and regulations that affect market competition. 	
	 Assist agencies with revision or elimination of anticompetitive regulations. 	
	Advocate for removing anticompetitive laws and regulations.	
Focus on National Security	Potential for sanctions and/or bans (e.g., China Russia) inclusive of sector-specific and tech-related actions. Areas of interest may include IP rights, connected products, and investments in national security technologies in other countries (e.g., DOJ final rule on Prohibitions and Restrictions on	White House Memorandum
	Access to Bulk U.S. Sensitive Personal Data).	
	Potential for investigation into the U.S. operations of companies aligned with countries posing national security concerns (e.g., telecommunications). Efforts may include:	FCC Chair Statement
	 Enhancing oversight of foreign-owned entities operating in the United States. 	
	— Issuing Letters of Inquiry and subpoenas.	
	— Gathering detailed information on foreign entities' operations.	
	— Coordinating with other federal agencies to address uncovered risks.	
	Heightened focus on investment in specific industries to secure American independence and innovation (e.g., energy, Al), including potential for changes in regulations to promote innovation and sector development.	EO 14156 (re: Energy)
		EO 14179 (re: Al)
	Narrowed application of the beneficial ownership information reporting requirements under the Corporate Transparency Act (CTA) to include only entities previously defined as "foreign reporting companies."	FinCEN Interim Final Rule
		Congressional Letter



M&A and Antitrust Policies

Actions related to M&A and antitrust policy and enforcement in the early days of the new Administration suggest a potential continuation of policies from the previous Administration, including a regulatory and legal/litigation focus on antitrust. However, federal banking agency actions appear to prioritize faster approval processes and an opening of charters to areas such as fintech.

Signals	Description/Examples	Source
Continuation of 2023 Merger Guidelines	Retention of FTC/DOJ 2023 Merger Guidelines; continued focus on antimonopoly/anti-competitive transactions and/or conduct and protection of workers (i.e., labor markets); transaction reviews to include "factors and frameworks" when assessing compliance with U.S. antitrust laws (e.g., series of acquisition, trends toward consolidation). The agencies "prize stability and disfavor wholesale recission"; they state that stability is good for business planning and enforcement.	DOJ Antitrust Division Memo 2023 Merger Guidelines
	Rules covering premerger notification, reporting, and waiting requirements under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvement Act (HSR) were allowed to go into effect (and were not held back under the regulatory freeze executive action – see also "Congressional Activity" below).	FTC Final Rule
Industry-Specific Action/Flexibility	Expressed willingness to adopt a more "nimble and predictable" approach to de novo bank formations and M&A (e.g., banking). Encouragement for more de novo activity to create a pipeline of new	FRB Governor FDIC Acting Chair
	entrants. Need for improvement in the merger approval process to ensure timely response.	Statement
	Approval of new charter/ business model (e.g., OCC approval of fintech acquisition of a national bank).	OCC Announcement
	Imposition of "passivity agreements" for certain large investors.	FDIC Director Statement
Congressional Activity	Introduction of bills to "disapprove" prior rules (under the Congressional Review Act), including the:	H.J.Res. 39
	— FTC's premerger notification rule.	S.J.Res. 13
	— OCC's final rule on business combinations under the Bank Merger Act.	
	Note: Bills require both House and Senate to approve the same bill and the President to sign.	
Modification or Withdrawal of Rulemaking and Guidance	Proposed rescission of FDIC 2024 Statement of Policy of Bank Merger Transactions (to address concerns regarding uncertainties) and reinstate its previous Statement of Policy in anticipation of a future proposal to "comprehensively revise" its merger policy.	FDIC Proposal
	Updated guidance on interpretation of "active investor" and Regulation 13D-G beneficial ownership reporting requirements related to proxy voting.	SEC Staff Guidance

For more information, please contact Amy Matsuo, Dean Bell, or Erin McCloskey.



Contact the author:



Amy Matsuo
Principal and National
Leader
Regulatory Insights
amatsuo@kpmg.com

Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates or related entities.

Learn about us:



kpmg.com

© 2025 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. USCS018133-1A

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

