



Capitol Hill Weekly

Washington National Tax
Federal Legislative & Regulatory Services

April 28, 2025

This update reflects facts as of Monday morning, April 28, 2025. The situation is fluid and may change.

Congress returns this week from its two-week Easter-Passover recess. Its legislative calendar for the week is thin, including Congressional Review Act resolutions on some late Biden Administration regulations and Senate votes on several ambassadorial nominations. House work on **budget reconciliation legislation**, which has continued during the break, will resume in earnest with a view to producing a reconciliation bill by Memorial Day.

Budget reconciliation legislation begins in the House. The House committees first report legislation within their jurisdiction to the Budget Committee, which then combines them into a bill for consideration by the full House. The committees are to produce legislation consistent with the instructions in the joint budget resolution, the one narrowly passed by the Senate and House shortly before the recess. The Senate will then consider the House-passed bill. The instructions to the Senate committees in the budget resolution, however, are quite different from those provided for the House. Resolving those differences may prove difficult, particularly for tax legislation.

The principal differences concern the revenue cost of the tax changes and the spending cuts and other offsets to that cost. The House instructions in the resolution provide for a net increase in deficits of \$4.5 trillion to the tax-writing Ways and Means Committee. The House instructions also require \$1.5 – 2.0 trillion in spending reductions, effectively limiting tax changes to at most about \$2.5 trillion in net increases in deficits.

The Senate instructions allow \$1.5 trillion in net deficit increases to the tax-writing Finance Committee but use a different baseline to measure that increase. The House instructions measure the deficit against current law in the customary fashion, that is, against a revenue baseline that assumes expiration of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act provisions as scheduled. The Senate instructions, in contrast, contemplate use of a so-called “policy baseline,” one that assumes that the TCJA provisions in effect at the end of the 2025 fiscal year—current tax policy—continue.

The use of that baseline is controversial and may still be subject to challenge on procedural grounds in the Senate, but the net effect is to disregard the cost of extending those TCJA provisions for ten years, at least \$4.5 trillion.

Adding that cost to the \$1.5 trillion in additions to the deficit provided by the budget resolution, would increase deficits by \$6.0 trillion or more under the House current law baseline.

Moreover, the Senate instructions in the budget resolution require virtually no spending reductions to offset the revenue cost of deficits created by tax provisions, although Senate Republican leadership has indicated a desire to provide unspecified spending reductions.

Republican House members most concerned about deficits and mounting federal debt are insisting on deep cuts to spending, even as against the \$4.5 trillion provided for tax provisions in the House resolution. The spending reductions contemplated by the House resolution instructions, however, could target popular low-income programs, namely Medicaid, the Children's Health Improvement Program, and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. A group of a dozen House Republicans have written to their leadership in opposition to reductions in those programs. Such reductions would face similar, if not more serious opposition in the Senate.

The plan of House and Senate Republican leadership remains to pass a reconciliation bill in the summer, at least before the August recess. Resolution of these key differences and others such as the cap on the deduction for state and local taxes with the thin Republican majorities in House and Senate, and without Democratic support, will be challenging and the debate could extend beyond August.

The following information is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230.

The information contained herein is of a general nature and based on authorities that are subject to change. Applicability of the information to specific situations should be determined through consultation with your tax adviser.

This article represents the views of the author or authors only and does not necessarily represent the views or professional advice of KPMG LLP.

Contacts

John Gimigliano

Principal-in-charge, Federal Tax,
Legislative & Regulatory Services

Washington National Tax

T: 202-533-4022

E: jgimigliano@kpmg.com

Jennifer Acuña

Principal, Federal Tax, Legislative &
Regulatory Services

Washington National Tax

T: 202-533-3800

E: jenniferacuna@kpmg.com

Learn about us:



kpmg.com

Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates or related entities.

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2025 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. USCS012900-2A

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.