

Sound governance is the foundation for corporate performance. It establishes principles that define and support the culture and decisions needed for leadership to organize and successfully execute strategies that enhance corporate performance, value, and trust. Too often, however, governance is viewed as a legal exercise rather than an opportunity to advance corporate performance in service to stakeholder priorities.

The annual board governance review—standard practice for public companies listed on the major U.S. stock exchanges—is a prime example of how companies can miss opportunities to govern in ways that drive performance. Boards often treat the review as a benchmarking and compliance exercise, revising governance guidelines, board committee charters, and other core governance documents to be in line with regulations, peers, and relevant industry and market practices. This approach alone has pitfalls, especially since a company's governing documents contribute significantly to corporate identity. When a company doesn't walk its talk, performance and reputation (along with leadership) will suffer.

Done well, the governance review is an opportunity to produce a tailored governance program that fully supports leadership objectives and key stakeholder priorities and enhances leadership's capabilities and accountability for achieving performance. When boards examine how governance is enabling desired performance (or not), they can act—including by realigning corporate culture and strategy, strengthening stakeholder engagement, adjusting CEO and executive compensation (if not composition), and making changes to the board itself.

Below, we describe ways boards can execute the governance review to improve corporate performance.



The standard corporate governance review does not meet basic cost-benefit tests.

# First things first: Defining "performance" and "governance"

Corporate "performance" is the measure of how well a company achieves its mission and goals. There is a direct link between performance and corporate governance—when governance promotes the right leadership, culture, and performance.

To design and achieve desired performance goals, boards and management must have a shared vision, informed by stakeholder priorities, for the business and its operations. With this vision and a sound governance program, boards and management can develop meaningful performance goals and metrics for leadership accountability, financial matters, operations, people, technology, and other factors that contribute to overall performance.

Corporate "governance" guides how a company and its leadership and people go about creating and maintaining alignment on corporate mission, vision, culture, strategy, and performance. But it is often defined narrowly, as board and corporate policies, procedures, and controls that are intended

to be responsive to applicable regulations, general market standards, and influential investor interests. There are problems with the narrow definition. For one, it begs the question: what is meant by policies, procedures, and controls? It also implies that policies, procedures, and control mechanisms may need to change whenever regulation, markets, and investors change. If true, that would create confusion, bureaucracy, and inefficiency.

High-performing companies rely on foresighted principles- and ethics-based governance that provides clear guidance across business issues and environments and make it easier to execute strategy and encourage the mindsets and behaviors to deliver desired performance. Policies, procedures, and controls are essential but they spring from, rather than define, a sound governance program.

### $\left. ight angle ight.$ Governing the information infrastructure

A performance-oriented governance review should prioritize an assessment of the company's information infrastructure. Governance and performance depend on the right people having the right information at the right time. Both boards and management must find ways to upgrade the company's ability to sort through and quickly metabolize vast amounts of shifting information. New data analytics tools such as generative AI have exciting potential for developing insights. Bringing in outside perspectives and expertise is another way to unearth new intelligence for board decision making. Boards should continually encourage management to keep ahead of evolving information and analytical needs.

## Using the governance review to enhance board and executive effectiveness

The governance review should start with confirming leadership's shared understanding of the company's mission and strategies. The next step is to align on the corresponding roles, responsibilities, and qualifications of board members and executive management. These are critical performance variables that are in some key ways articulated in core governance documents, such as corporate governance guidelines and committee charters, but also reflected in employment and compensation packages and delegations of authority.

The primary function of sound governance is to maintain effective leadership that meets evolving demands. Institutional and activist investors and other market participants recognize this and often look to influence, if not effect, changes in governance practices and/or board and executive team composition to address perceived performance deficiencies.

Standard public company practice puts independent nominating and governance committees in charge of the annual governance review. This makes sense since the core work of these committees is to lead on board composition, evaluation, structure, and governance. But this can be a circular exercise since the committee is, in essence, reviewing its own work as well as an incomplete exercise, since company and executive performance metrics, executive compensation, and actual company and leadership performance also require examination with a governance perspective.

Boards can move past standard practices and tie governance more directly to performance. To do this, boards should engage their members, management, and advisors to help determine how well the governance program is driving board and executive effectiveness and guiding and facilitating mission- critical strategies and operations.

Expanding the governance review (and governance program) to flexibly address fresh challenges and opportunities can strengthen leadership, sharpen strategy and operations, and improve performance—taking the governance review far beyond documentation and benchmarking.

When the scope of the governance review is widened, it should identify gaps between governance and performance to be addressed. These are some typical action items that may result:

- Improvements to the board and management reporting information infrastructure that promote insights, breakthroughs, and performance
- Strategies to improve culture, compliance, insights, and performance
- More effective operational and performance metrics
- Stronger links between pay and performance
- Improvements to financial reporting and business communications
- More effective stakeholder engagement



## **Expanding the governance review to improve performance**

The review agenda should prioritize discussions about how the governance program and principles enable appropriate leadership, management, oversight and execution of the company's mission and performance goals. The review should also focus on the quality of the information infrastructure that informs the board and management on these and other mission-critical matters as well as the company's business environment, competitive pressures, stakeholder priorities, and relevant risks and opportunities.

A performance-oriented governance review pulls in other important work that boards do around strategy, director

nominations, board evaluation, CEO and executive performance and compensation, and other mission-critical matters. It therefore calls for boards to weave some of their most important workstreams more closely together and to collaborate with management on the review, which can result in synergies and clearer insights for governance to improve corporate performance.

The following table lays out how the standard governance review generally works today and potential perspective and procedural shifts that expand and focus the governance review to improve performance.

| From   | То  |
|--|---|
| Annual review of Core Governance Documents   | Regular oversight of how governance supports strategy, drives performance, and aligns with stakeholder needs  |
| Stand-alone project led by the nominating and corporate governance committee   | Cross-functional project led by the board or an ad hoc board committee and executive leadership   |
| Review facilitated by legal team   | Review facilitated by legal team with input from key business partners  |
| <ul> <li>Corporate governance guidelines</li> <li>Board committee charters</li> <li>Board minutes</li> <li>Board and executive succession planning</li> <li>Code of business conduct and ethics</li> <li>D&amp;O questionnaire (collectively "Core Governance Documents")</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Review agenda</li> <li>Core Governance Documents</li> <li>Leadership's information infrastructures and reporting, including board materials and chief operating decision maker's (CODM) package</li> <li>Board and individual director effectiveness evaluations</li> <li>Board and executive succession pipeline data</li> <li>Culture, employee engagement, training, and whistleblower programs</li> <li>Investor relations reports on shareholders and their priorities</li> <li>Management report on stakeholder (customers, employees, suppliers, communities) priorities</li> </ul> |
| Core Governance Documents benchmarked against peers and "leading" governance practices   | Core Governance Documents, relevant proxy statement disclosures, and earnings practices and reporting benchmarked against the company's mission, performance goals, and select direct and aspirational peers  |
| Set next year's board and committee calendars and agendas based on the current year calendar and agenda  | Reasonably flexible board and committee calendars to accommodate dynamic strategic planning and oversight   |
| Generic governance disclosures   | Tailored "decision-useful" annual disclosures that explain how the company's governance programs align with leadership composition and corporate mission and performance  |

# >> Transformation governance

Business transformations are among the most important, expensive, and difficult strategic investments that companies make. In a recent KPMG survey, transformation leaders across industries estimated that only 19 percent of transformation projects returned significant value.¹ This poor performance is attributable to governance failures, including insufficient engagement by top leaders and unclear connection to strategy, among other factors. When leaders decide on a business transformation or any other mission-critical objective, they must design and activate parallel governance and leadership capabilities, so the transformation fully meets intended performance outcomes.

The review should prompt tactical enhancements to board calendars, meeting agendas, reporting protocols, and materials. Other review outcomes may include:

- More clearly aligning board and executive leadership qualifications, roles, and responsibilities to corporate mission and current strategy
- Refining corporate performance measurements and enhancing leadership accountability
- Clarifying management's responsibilities and reporting on how it identifies and engages with relevant stakeholders to understand their priorities
- Cultural shifts that better support strategic priorities and performance goals
- A reinvigorated tone from the top that strengthens stakeholder engagement, trust, and loyalty

Most importantly, the review should result in calendared action items that the board and executive management must manage and meet to effectuate identified goals for improving leadership and performance.

To build trust with investors and other key stakeholders, the results of the review should be thoughtfully articulated in the company's core governance documents and relevant public disclosures.

The review should also prompt tactical enhancements to board calendars, meeting agendas, reporting protocols and materials. Board operations can adjust to accommodate more dynamic leadership, performance goal setting, and corresponding strategies as facilitated by sound governance principles.

## The payoff

Standard-practice governance reviews do not meet basic costbenefit tests. They take time and resources and significantly impact corporate identity but do not always provide sufficient benefits, other than helping to avoid basic legal, compliance, and disclosure issues.

By formulating sound governance principles and linking governance to leadership and performance, boards enhance their effectiveness and promote an appropriately dynamic corporate strategy, the culture and accountability processes to drive strategy and improve performance consistent with mission, and more constructive stakeholder engagement.

By shifting the governance review from an annual legal exercise to an ongoing strategic exercise aligned with other critical board work, board and executive leadership can expand the company's ability to metabolize and manage change and uncertainty, thereby improving performance.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See "The new transformation agenda," KPMG LLP, 2023

### How KPMG can help

We work closely with diverse clients globally at every step in their lifecycle, giving us exceptionally deep and practical governance insights. With expansive knowledge and experience across industries, countries, and business matters, we deploy integrated, cross-functional business strategies and governance approaches to help our clients optimize their performance and drive growth across multiple dimensions while navigating economic and geopolitical volatility, technological change, and market and societal shifts. Whether working on IPO readiness, corporate transformation, strategic shifts, or deployment of innovative technology, we bring the correct mix of capabilities and teaming to address client priorities thoughtfully.

KPMG is uniquely positioned to help clients succeed with a portfolio of governance solutions:

- Governance journey: Staying abreast of conditions and information; regularly strategize, prioritize, and guide governance standards, and practices that maintain clear alignment with strategy and performance goals.
- Elevate: Performance improvement initiatives.
- Connected: A tailored approach to digital transformation that focuses enterprise, operations, and technology on meeting customer, employee, and stakeholder expectations.
- **Powered:** A set of digital solutions that helps clients transform business functions.
- Trusted: Helping clients build confidence in their governance, leadership, and strategy by predictably navigating risk, opportunity, and regulation.

#### **Authors**



Rani Doyle
Principal, Deal Advisory and Strategy

Rani is a Principal in the KPMG Deal Advisory and Strategy practice. She has experience working with executive management and boards at public and private companies on a wide range of capital markets, corporate governance, and strategic business and disclosure matters. Rani helps companies and boards design and implement custom-fit governance systems that improve leadership decision making and organizational performance and culture.



#### **Contact us**



Rani Doyle
Principal, TMT Strategy
571-695-6351
ranidoyle@kpmg.com

Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates or related entities.

Please visit us:



kpmg.com



The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

©2025 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.