

Working From Home:

The New Normal?

Jay Freedman Principal, KPMG Global Tax Industry Leader Alternative Investments – Hedge Funds

July 20, 2021

Aaron Shafer Managing Director, Tax, SALT – NYFS KPMG in the United States

3 Stages

WFH 1.0 Spring/Summer 2020

- Emergency response
- Stay at Home orders
- What positions to take on 2020 filings?

WFH 2.0 Q3 and Q4 2020

- Limited return to work
- The "Next Normal"
 - Partial WFH/WFO
 - Safe commutes/meals/ etc.

WFH 3.0 2021 – ?

- The "New Normal"
- National employment model
 not commutable?
- Permanent WFH model?
- Branch locations?



Work From Home 1.0

Nexus/Permanent Establishment

- Do you have a filing obligation
- Know your jurisdictions
 - Not all jurisdictions tax based on employee location
 - Some jurisdictions have issued specific guidance/relief

Apportionment/ Transfer Pricing

- Effects tax liability
- Know your jurisdictions
 - Not all jurisdictions tax based on employee location
 - Employee functions and compensation level are key considerations

Employee Withholding

- Generally based on office assignment
- W-4 based on new location
 - Difficult if no office there
 - How do you change offices in a virtual environment?



Work From Home 2.0



When will "grace period" run out?



Withholding tax has largely been unchanged
What about people planning around bonus payments?



Firms are starting to track employees to create audit trail



Return to work issues
— Deductibility of subsidized safe commutes and meals



HR vs. Tax

- Restricting work from certain jurisdictions
- Security



© 2021 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. NDP204095-4B

Work from home 3.0 – Effect of having remote workforce

- **O** Increased nexus footprint
- Changes to apportionment formula
 - Not all jurisdictions look to physical presence/cost of performance
 - What functions are where and can transfer pricing help establish apportionment
- **O Telecommuting laws**
 - Some states may not require entity filing/apportionment if employees work from home
 - Will resident states continue to grant credit to residents who telecommute to other states?

- Consistency of positions with employee withholding obligations
 - What about employees being located in "uncommutable locations"?
 - What are best practices?
 - Tracking
 - Employer policy
- Increased detection (registering to do business, payroll), increased compliance
- Output about non-tax issues?





Are states being more aggressive in asserting nexus/audits?





Continued trend of market-based sourcing

Florida, Texas

California draft "lookthrough" regulations

Lessens the impact of having remote people (could have nexus, but no apportionment)





© 2021 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. NDP204095-4B

NYC unincorporated business planning

Reduced apportionment due to people working remotely outside the 5 boroughs





NYS telecommuter rule

NYS Telecommuter Rule

if an employee (not partner) is assigned to a NYS based office, and instead works from home outside of NYS, NYS will still tax them as NYS employees under the "convenience of the employer rule".

Effects local work from home employees

Also effects relocated employees who work from homes

- Employee choosing to work from new home in Florida would still be subject to NYS tax
- If you set up a branch office in Florida, then you would have a much better case if reassigned to that office.
- But that office should be commutable and should be more than touch down space.
 - Office in Miami may not help an employee living in Orlando.

Also, beware of frequent trips to NYS – i.e., if you are in NY office more than Florida office you may be challenged

All of this also make the case for record keeping. This will be the key to defending an audit



© 2021 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. NDP204095-4B



Thank you



Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates or related entities.



kpmg.com/socialmedia

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2021 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. NDP204095-4B

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

