

NYS Pass-Through Entity Tax

Jay Freedman Principal, KPMG Global Tax Industry Leader Alternative Investments – Hedge Funds

Aaron Shafer Managing Director, Tax, SALT – NYFS KPMG in the United States

July 20, 2021

Overview

Entity level tax that is a deductible business expense to the entity which lowers the federal taxable income allocated to the partners

 "Work-around" to the \$10,000 individual federal deduction cap for state and local taxes following Notice 2020-75

Applies to tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2021

Applies to the partnership and thus binds all partners; cannot pick and choose which partners participate

Estimated payments are made on the 15th of March, June, September and December (regardless if calendar or fiscal year)

Not required for 2021 transition year

Tax paid by the partnership with a *refundable* credit allocated to the partners

Partnerships having an Article 22 partner (individuals, trusts and estates) are eligible

- Having a corporate or partnership partner does not disqualify
- No "doing business" requirement or state source income requirement

Annual election generally by the due date of the first estimated payment (March 15)

- 2021 transition year election date is October 15

Entity pays tax using the individual rates on the sum of the tax base



Mechanics

Tax base

- NYS resident partners entire distributive share (worldwide income) (including guaranteed payments?)
- NYS non-resident partners NYS source distributive share
- S Corps use NYS source income for both resident and non-resident shareholders
- Partners that are themselves passthroughs are not considered in the calculation and must make the election at their own level



Cost vs Benefit

- Federal deduction is an addition modification to the NYS personal income tax base
- Amount of credit is also an addition modification to the NYS personal income tax base
- Result is that more NYS tax is paid than if the PTET election is not made because of this tax on the credit
- This is the "cost" of getting the federal deduction – roughly 120 bps for highest bracket taxpayers



Example: PTET calculation

Partnership income: \$50mm total income (pre-PTET) NYS apportionment: 50%

Partner Makeup:

- Partner 1 NYS Resident Individual: 50%
- Partner 2 Non-NYS Individual: 25%
- Partner 3 Corporation 12.5%
- Partner 4 Partnership 12.5%

| PTET Calculation: | |
|---|------------------|
| Partnership Income | 50,000,000 |
| NYS Apportionment | <u>50%</u> |
| NYS Source Income | 25,000,000 |
| Partner 1: (50% of total income) | 25,000,000 |
| Partner 2: (25% of NY source income) | 6,250,000 |
| Partner 3: (tax is inapplicable to corps) | 0 |
| Partner 4: (PS makes election at their own level) | <u>0</u> |
| PTET Base | 31,250,000 |
| <u>Tax Rate (partnership income >\$25mm)</u> | <u>10.9%</u> |
| NYS PTET | 3,406,250 |
| Federal taxable income: | |
| Partnership Income | 50,000,000 |
| NYS PTET | <u>3,406,250</u> |
| Federal Taxable Income | 46,593,750 |



Example: Credit calculation

 Credit calculation:

 Partner 1:
 \$2,725,000
 (\$25mm/\$31,250,000) x \$3,406,250

 Partner 2:
 \$681,250
 (\$6,250,000/\$31,250,000) x \$3,406,250

 Partner 3:
 0

 Partner 4:
 0

 Total
 \$3,406,250

- Credit allocation is based on relative income inclusion into tax base



Example: NYS resident partner calculation



| /S Personal income tax | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| Partner 1: NYS Resident | | |
| Total Income from Partnership | 25,000,000 | |
| Addback of NY PTET (10.9% x \$25,000,000) | <u>2,725,000</u> | |
| NYS Taxable Income | 27,725,000 | |
| NYS Individual Tax Rate | <u> 10.9%*</u> | |
| NYS Tax Liability | 3,022,025 | |
| NYS PTET Credit | 2,725,000 | |
| Additional Liability | 297,025 | (297,025 / 25mm = 1.19% |
| <u>Federal Benefit (\$2,725,000 x 37%);</u> | <u>1,008,250</u> | |
| Net Benefit | <u>711,225</u> | |

— For Federal purposes, should the allocation of the state tax expense follow the allocation of the credit or is it allocated similarly to other expenses?

*The maximum rate, rather than the progressive rate, is being used for simplicity purposes..



Example: NYS non-resident partner calculation



| IYS Personal income tax | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|
| | | |
| Partner 2: NYS Non-resident | | |
| Total Income from Partnership | 6,250,000 | |
| <u>Addback of NY PTET (10.9% x \$6,250,000)</u> | <u>681,250</u> | |
| NYS Taxable Income | 6,931,250 | |
| NYS Individual Tax Rate | <u>10.3%*</u> | |
| NYS Tax Liability | 713,919 | |
| NYS PTET Credit | <u>681,250</u> | |
| Additional Liability | <u>32,669</u> | (32,669 / 6,250,000 = 0.52%) |
| <u>Federal Benefit (\$681,250 x 37%);</u> | <u>252,063</u> | |
| Net Benefit | <u>219,394</u> | |

*Note that while the PTET is calculated using the rate applicable to the partnership (over \$25mm bracket is 10.9%), the individual liability is calculated using the taxpayers individual rate (\$5mm-\$25mm bracket is 10.3%). The maximum rate, rather than the progressive rate, is being used for simplicity purposes.



Non-Resident partner issues

Issue: Does the partner's resident state provide a credit for state taxes paid if a PTET?

- State's that have PTET's generally provide a credit
- State's that do not have a PTET are silent or don't allow.
 - Still relatively new and needs to be determined.

Solution

- Create a feeder partnership for the NYS resident partners and any other partners who want to participate.
 - Since election applies to all partners, this allows for only those wishing to avail themselves of the election to participate.



Limitations?

- Is the federal deduction subject to limitation if the entity is not in a trade or business?
 - Section 164 vs. 162/212
 - Notice 2020-75 states intent to be deductible as a "nonseparately stated income or loss"

Specified Income Tax Payments not separately taken into account. Any Specified Income Tax Payment made by a partnership or an S corporation during a taxable year does not constitute an item of deduction that a partner or an S corporation shareholder takes into account separately under section 702 or section 1366 in determining the partner's or S corporation shareholder's own Federal income tax liability for the taxable year. Instead, Specified Income Tax Payments will be reflected in a partner's or an S corporation shareholder's other shareholder's distributive or pro-rata share of nonseparately stated income or loss reported on a Schedule K-1 (or similar form).

- Investment partnerships generally do not have "nonseparately stated income or loss"
- Itemized deductions not associated with a section 162 business are generally separately stated under section 702
- How do you reconcile Notice 2020-75 with existing law?



Limitations? (continued)

- Will AMT cause the taxpayer to lose the federal benefits?
 - Individuals in investor funds would generally report as Schedule A Line 6
- Could IRS challenge a special allocation of the partnership level tax deduction that is made in accordance with the state methodology for awarding the credit?
 - Could this allocation cause the tax to be viewed as akin to a composite or withholding
 - How does the partnership allocate other entity level taxes (e.g., NYC UBT)?



Other considerations

- Will new entity be subjected to additional taxes?
 - NYC Unincorporated Business Tax (UBT) on partnerships
 - NYC General Corporation Tax (GCT) on S corps
 - New York City does not recognize Federal or New York State "S Corporation" elections
- Cash flow issues tax is paid by partnership entity
 - Reduces AUM/capital
 - May require additional capital calls
 - Effect on tax distributions
- Fund income may not be known until year end so income attributable to respective partners may be uncertain until then
- Considerations with respect to compensatory equity interests/profits interest
- Will new tax legislation eliminate the need?
 - Annual election takes some pressure off if SALT deduction is restored
 - SALT cap set to expire post 2025







Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates or related entities.



kpmg.com/socialmedia

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2021 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. NDP204095-4B

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

